



# SAMPLE General Election Ballot

Adams County, Colorado  
Tuesday, November 06, 2018


*Stan Martin*

Stan Martin  
Adams County Clerk and Recorder

Ballot Style: Sample Ballot  
Card Style: Sample Ballot

### How to vote

#### Correct




- Use blue or black ink
- Completely fill the oval to the left of your choice

#### Incorrect



### If you make a mistake



- Cross out the entire name or choice that you marked by mistake
- Completely fill another oval to the left of the correct choice

### Optional write-in



- Completely fill the oval to the left of the words "Write-in"
- Then legibly print the name of an eligible write-in candidate on the line

**WARNING:** Any person who, by use of force or other means, unduly influences an eligible elector to vote in any particular manner or to refrain from voting, or who falsely makes, alters, forges, or counterfeits any mail ballot before or after it has been cast, or who destroys, defaces, mutilates, or tampers with a ballot is subject, upon conviction, to imprisonment, or to a fine, or both. Section 1-7.5-107(3)(b), C.R.S.

<b>Federal Offices</b> <b>Representative to the 116th United States Congress - District 4</b> (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Karen McCormick <small>Democratic</small> <input type="radio"/> Ken Buck <small>Republican</small> <input type="radio"/> Write-in:	<b>Secretary of State</b> (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Wayne Williams <small>Republican</small> <input type="radio"/> Jena Griswold <small>Democratic</small> <input type="radio"/> Amanda Campbell <small>American Constitution</small> <input type="radio"/> Blake Huber <small>Approval Voting</small>	<b>State Representative - District 31</b> (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Rico Figueroa <small>Republican</small> <input type="radio"/> Yadira Caraveo <small>Democratic</small> <input type="radio"/> Bree Owens <small>Libertarian</small>
<b>Representative to the 116th United States Congress - District 6</b> (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Mike Coffman <small>Republican</small> <input type="radio"/> Jason Crow <small>Democratic</small> <input type="radio"/> Kat Martin <small>Libertarian</small> <input type="radio"/> Dan Chapin <small>Unaffiliated</small> <input type="radio"/> Write-in:	<b>State Treasurer</b> (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Brian Watson <small>Republican</small> <input type="radio"/> Dave Young <small>Democratic</small> <input type="radio"/> Gerald F. Kilpatrick <small>American Constitution</small>	<b>State Representative - District 32</b> (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Adrienne Benavidez <small>Democratic</small>
<b>Representative to the 116th United States Congress - District 7</b> (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Ed Perlmutter <small>Democratic</small> <input type="radio"/> Mark Barrington <small>Republican</small> <input type="radio"/> Jennifer Nackerud <small>Libertarian</small> <input type="radio"/> Write-in:	<b>Attorney General</b> (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Phil Weiser <small>Democratic</small> <input type="radio"/> George Brauchler <small>Republican</small> <input type="radio"/> William F. Robinson III <small>Libertarian</small>	<b>State Representative - District 34</b> (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Alexander "Skinny" Winkler <small>Republican</small> <input type="radio"/> Kyle Mullica <small>Democratic</small>
<b>State Offices</b> <b>Governor/Lieutenant Governor</b> (Vote for One Pair) <input type="radio"/> Jared Polis / Dianne Primavera <small>Democratic</small> <input type="radio"/> Walker Stapleton / Lang Sias <small>Republican</small> <input type="radio"/> Bill Hammons / Eric Bodenstab <small>Unity</small> <input type="radio"/> Scott Helker / Michele Poague <small>Libertarian</small>	<b>State Board of Education Member - Congressional District 4</b> (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Tim Krug <small>Democratic</small> <input type="radio"/> Debora L. Scheffel <small>Republican</small>	<b>State Representative - District 35</b> (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Shannon Bird <small>Democratic</small> <input type="radio"/> Bruce Baker <small>Republican</small> <input type="radio"/> Ken Biles <small>Libertarian</small>
	<b>Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large</b> (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Lesley Smith <small>Democratic</small> <input type="radio"/> Ken Montera <small>Republican</small> <input type="radio"/> Christopher E. Otwell <small>Unity</small> <input type="radio"/> James K. Treibert <small>Libertarian</small>	<b>State Representative - District 56</b> (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Rod Bockenfeld <small>Republican</small> <input type="radio"/> Dave Rose <small>Democratic</small> <input type="radio"/> Kevin Gulbranson <small>Libertarian</small>
	<b>State Senator - District 24</b> (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Beth Martinez Humenik <small>Republican</small> <input type="radio"/> Faith Winter <small>Democratic</small> <input type="radio"/> Donald Osborn <small>Libertarian</small> <input type="radio"/> Adam Matkowsky <small>Unaffiliated</small>	<b>Regional Transportation District Director - District B</b> (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Chris Martinez <input type="radio"/> Shontel Marie Lewis <input type="radio"/> JoyAnn Keener Ruscha
	<b>State Representative - District 30</b> (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Dafna Michaelson Jenet <small>Democratic</small> <input type="radio"/> Susan Kochevar <small>Republican</small>	<b>Regional Transportation District Director - District J</b> (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Vince Buzek

**! This ballot may consist of multiple pages. Please review and vote both sides of all pages.**

<b>Regional Transportation District Director - District K</b> (Vote for One)  <input type="radio"/> Troy L. Whitmore  <input type="radio"/> Paul D. Solano  <input type="radio"/> Gerald Stephen Jaramillo	<b>County Coroner</b> (Vote for One)  <input type="radio"/> Kara Palfy <span style="float: right;">Republican</span>  <input type="radio"/> Monica Broncucia-Jordan <span style="float: right;">Democratic</span>	Shall Judge Donald S. Quick of the 17th Judicial District be retained in office?  <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
<b>Regional Transportation District Director - District L</b> (Vote for One)  <input type="radio"/> Phil Munsterman  <input type="radio"/> Bob Wilson  <input type="radio"/> Shelley Cook	<b>Town of Lochbuie</b> <b>Lochbuie Mayor</b> (Vote for not more than one)  <input type="radio"/> Jacob R. Lofgren  <input type="radio"/> Larry Strock	Shall Judge Brian Nathaniel Bowen of the Adams County Court be retained in office?  <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No  Shall Judge Michael A. Cox of the Adams County Court be retained in office?  <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
<b>County Offices</b> <b>County Commissioner - District 3</b> (Vote for One)  <input type="radio"/> Larry Hoy <span style="float: right;">Republican</span>  <input type="radio"/> Emma Pinter <span style="float: right;">Democratic</span>	<b>Lochbuie Trustee</b> (Vote for not more than three)  <input type="radio"/> David E. Ott  <input type="radio"/> Jamie Jeffery  <input type="radio"/> Gary Richard Counterman  <input type="radio"/> Grant Doherty	Shall Judge Cindy Dang of the Adams County Court be retained in office?  <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No  Shall Judge Byron Lynn Howell of the Adams County Court be retained in office?  <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
<b>County Commissioner - District 4</b> (Vote for One)  <input type="radio"/> Steve O'Doriso <span style="float: right;">Democratic</span>  <input type="radio"/> Joyce Thomas <span style="float: right;">Republican</span>	<b>Judicial Retention Questions</b> Shall Justice Richard L. Gabriel of the Colorado Supreme Court be retained in office?  <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	Shall Judge Sabino E. Romano of the Adams County Court be retained in office?  <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No  Shall Judge Dianna L. Roybal of the Adams County Court be retained in office?  <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
<b>County Clerk and Recorder</b> (Vote for One)  <input type="radio"/> Josh Zygielbaum <span style="float: right;">Democratic</span>  <input type="radio"/> Stan Martin <span style="float: right;">Republican</span>	Shall Judge John Daniel Dailey of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
<b>County Treasurer</b> (Vote for One)  <input type="radio"/> Brigitte C. Grimm <span style="float: right;">Republican</span>  <input type="radio"/> Lisa L. Culpepper <span style="float: right;">Democratic</span>	Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
<b>County Assessor</b> (Vote for One)  <input type="radio"/> Patsy Melonakis <span style="float: right;">Republican</span>  <input type="radio"/> Ken Musso <span style="float: right;">Democratic</span>	Shall Judge Elizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
<b>County Sheriff</b> (Vote for One)  <input type="radio"/> Rick Reigenborn <span style="float: right;">Democratic</span>  <input type="radio"/> Mike McIntosh <span style="float: right;">Republican</span>	Shall Judge David J. Richman of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
<b>County Surveyor</b> (Vote for One)  There are no candidates for this office.	Shall Judge Sharon D. Holbrook of the 17th Judicial District be retained in office?  <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
		Shall Judge Edward Moss of the 17th Judicial District be retained in office?  <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No





**Ballot Measures**

Ballot questions referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and ballot questions initiated by the people are listed numerically. A ballot question listed as an "amendment" proposes a change to the Colorado constitution, and a ballot question listed as a "proposition" proposes a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes. A "yes/for" vote on any ballot question is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances, and a "no/against" vote on any ballot question is a vote against changing current law or existing circumstances.

**State Measures**

**Amendment V (CONSTITUTIONAL)**

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a reduction in the age qualification for a member of the general assembly from twenty-five years to twenty-one years?

Yes/For  No/Against

**Amendment W (CONSTITUTIONAL)**

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change in the format of the election ballot for judicial retention elections?

Yes/For  No/Against

**Amendment X (CONSTITUTIONAL)**

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning changing the industrial hemp definition from a constitutional definition to a statutory definition?

Yes/For  No/Against

**Amendment Y (CONSTITUTIONAL)**

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change to the way that congressional districts are drawn, and, in connection therewith, taking the duty to draw congressional districts away from the state legislature and giving it to an independent commission, composed of twelve citizens who possess specified qualifications; prohibiting any one political party's control of the commission by requiring that one-third of commissioners will not be affiliated with any political party, one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's largest political party, and one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's second largest political party; prohibiting certain persons, including professional lobbyists, federal campaign committee employees, and federal, state, and local elected officials, from serving on the commission; limiting judicial review of a map to a determination by the supreme court of whether the commission or its nonpartisan staff committed an abuse of discretion; requiring the commission to draw districts with a focus on communities of interest and political subdivisions, such as cities and counties, and then to maximize the number of competitive congressional seats to the extent possible; and prohibiting maps from being drawn to dilute the electoral influence of any racial or ethnic group or to protect any incumbent, any political candidate, or any political party?

Yes/For  No/Against

**Amendment Z (CONSTITUTIONAL)**

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change to the manner in which state senate and state house of representatives districts are drawn, and, in connection therewith, reforming the existing legislative reapportionment commission by expanding the commission to twelve members and authorizing the appointment of members who possess specified qualifications; prohibiting any one political party's control of the commission by requiring that one-third of commissioners will not be affiliated with any political party, one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's largest political party, and one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's second largest political party; prohibiting certain persons, including professional lobbyists, federal campaign committee employees, and federal, state, and local elected officials, from serving on the commission; limiting judicial review of a map to a determination by the supreme court of whether the commission or its nonpartisan staff committed an abuse of discretion; requiring the commission to draw state legislative districts using communities of interest as well as political subdivisions, such as cities and counties, and then to maximize the number of competitive state legislative seats to the extent possible; and prohibiting maps from being drawn to dilute the electoral influence of any racial or ethnic group or to protect any incumbent, any political candidate, or any political party?

Yes/For  No/Against

**Amendment A (CONSTITUTIONAL)**

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution that prohibits slavery and involuntary servitude as punishment for a crime and thereby prohibits slavery and involuntary servitude in all circumstances?

Yes/For  No/Against

**Amendment 73 (CONSTITUTIONAL)**

SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$1,600,000,000 ANNUALLY BY AN AMENDMENT TO THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND A CHANGE TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES CONCERNING FUNDING RELATING TO PRESCHOOL THROUGH HIGH SCHOOL PUBLIC EDUCATION, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, CREATING AN EXCEPTION TO THE SINGLE RATE STATE INCOME TAX FOR REVENUE THAT IS DEDICATED TO THE FUNDING OF PUBLIC SCHOOLS; INCREASING INCOME TAX RATES INCREMENTALLY FOR INDIVIDUALS, TRUSTS, AND ESTATES USING FOUR TAX BRACKETS STARTING AT .37% FOR INCOME ABOVE \$150,000 AND INCREASING TO 3.62% FOR INCOME ABOVE \$500,000; INCREASING THE CORPORATE INCOME TAX RATE BY 1.37%; FOR PURPOSES OF SCHOOL DISTRICT PROPERTY TAXES, REDUCING THE CURRENT RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE OF 7.2% TO 7.0% AND THE CURRENT NONRESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE OF 29% TO 24%; REQUIRING THE REVENUE FROM THE INCOME TAX INCREASES TO BE DEPOSITED IN A DEDICATED PUBLIC EDUCATION FUND AND ALLOWING THE REVENUE COLLECTED TO BE RETAINED AND SPENT AS VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES; REQUIRING THE LEGISLATURE TO ANNUALLY APPROPRIATE MONEY FROM THE FUND TO SCHOOL DISTRICTS TO SUPPORT EARLY CHILDHOOD THROUGH HIGH SCHOOL PUBLIC EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS ON AN EQUITABLE BASIS THROUGHOUT THE STATE WITHOUT DECREASING GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS; DIRECTING THE LEGISLATURE TO ENACT, REGULARLY REVIEW, AND REVISE WHEN NECESSARY, A NEW PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE LAW THAT MEETS SPECIFIED CRITERIA; UNTIL THE LEGISLATURE HAS ENACTED A NEW PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE LAW, REQUIRING THE MONEY IN THE FUND TO BE ANNUALLY APPROPRIATED FOR SPECIFIED EDUCATION PROGRAMS AND PURPOSES; REQUIRING THE MONEY IN THE FUND TO BE USED TO SUPPORT ONLY PUBLIC SCHOOLS; REQUIRING GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR PUBLIC EDUCATION TO INCREASE BY INFLATION, UP TO 5%, ANNUALLY; AND REQUIRING THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION TO COMMISSION A STUDY OF THE USE OF THE MONEY IN THE FUND WITHIN FIVE YEARS?

Yes/For  No/Against

**Amendment 74 (CONSTITUTIONAL)**

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution requiring the government to award just compensation to owners of private property when a government law or regulation reduces the fair market value of the property?

Yes/For  No/Against

**Amendment 75 (CONSTITUTIONAL)**

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution providing that if any candidate in a primary or general election for state office directs more than one million dollars in support of his or her own election, then every candidate for that office in the same election may accept five times the amount of campaign contributions normally allowed?

Yes/For  No/Against

**Proposition 109 (STATUTORY)**

SHALL STATE DEBT BE INCREASED \$3,500,000,000, WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF \$5,200,000,000, WITHOUT RAISING TAXES OR FEES, BY A CHANGE TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES REQUIRING THE ISSUANCE OF TRANSPORTATION REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, NOTE PROCEEDS SHALL BE RETAINED AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND USED EXCLUSIVELY TO FUND SPECIFIED ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPANSION, CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, AND REPAIR PROJECTS THROUGHOUT THE STATE?

Yes/For       No/Against

**Proposition 110 (STATUTORY)**

SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$766,700,000 ANNUALLY FOR A TWENTY-YEAR PERIOD, AND STATE DEBT SHALL BE INCREASED \$6,000,000,000 WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF \$9,400,000,000, TO PAY FOR STATE AND LOCAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, CHANGING THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES TO: 1) INCREASE THE STATE SALES AND USE TAX RATE BY 0.62% BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2019; REQUIRING 45% OF THE NEW REVENUE TO FUND STATE TRANSPORTATION SAFETY, MAINTENANCE, AND CONGESTION RELATED PROJECTS, 40% TO FUND MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, AND 15% TO FUND MULTIMODAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, INCLUDING BIKE, PEDESTRIAN, AND TRANSIT INFRASTRUCTURE; 2) AUTHORIZE THE ISSUANCE OF ADDITIONAL TRANSPORTATION REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES TO FUND PRIORITY STATE TRANSPORTATION MAINTENANCE AND CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS, INCLUDING MULTIMODAL CAPITAL PROJECTS; AND 3) PROVIDE THAT ALL REVENUE RESULTING FROM THE TAX RATE INCREASE AND PROCEEDS FROM ISSUANCE OF REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES ARE VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES EXEMPT FROM ANY STATE OR LOCAL REVENUE, SPENDING, OR OTHER LIMITATIONS IN LAW?

Yes/For       No/Against

**Proposition 111 (STATUTORY)**

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning limitations on payday lenders, and, in connection therewith, reducing allowable charges on payday loans to an annual percentage rate of no more than thirty-six percent?

Yes/For       No/Against

**Proposition 112 (STATUTORY)**

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning a statewide minimum distance requirement for new oil and gas development, and, in connection therewith, changing existing distance requirements to require that any new oil and gas development be located at least 2,500 feet from any structure intended for human occupancy and any other area designated by the measure, the state, or a local government and authorizing the state or a local government to increase the minimum distance requirement?

Yes/For       No/Against

SAMPLE 2018-09-18 12:56:12





<p><b>City of Federal Heights</b></p> <p><b>CITY OF FEDERAL HEIGHTS BALLOT ISSUE 2A</b></p> <p>SHALL THE CITY OF FEDERAL HEIGHTS, COLORADO, TAXES BE INCREASED BY \$683,000 IN THE FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR (2019) AND WHATEVER AMOUNTS ARE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY THE IMPOSITION OF AN INCREASE IN BOTH THE LOCAL SALES AND USE TAX BY ONE QUARTER PERCENT COMMENCING WITH LOCAL SALES AND USE TAX COLLECTION IN THE YEAR 2019, AND CONTINUING THEREAFTER; SUCH REVENUES TO BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT FOR THE PURPOSE OF MAINTAINING CURRENT LEVELS OF FIRE, AMBULANCE AND POLICE SERVICES, BY DEFRAYING OPERATING AND CAPITAL EXPENSES FOR THE FIRE, AMBULANCE AND POLICE SERVICES, AND SHALL THE CITY BE PERMITTED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND EXPEND ALL REVENUES DERIVED FROM SUCH TAXES, AND ANY EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT THEREOF, AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For      <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	<p><b>City of Arvada</b></p> <p><b>CITY OF ARVADA BALLOT ISSUE 3F</b></p> <p>WITHOUT IMPOSING ANY NEW TAX OR INCREASING ANY TAX RATE, SHALL CITY OF ARVADA DEBT BE INCREASED UP TO \$79.8 MILLION WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF UP TO \$125 MILLION, SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING LIMITATIONS AND FOR THE FOLLOWING PURPOSES:</p> <p>IMPROVING PEDESTRIAN SAFETY BY ENHANCING INTERSECTIONS, SIDEWALKS AND CROSSWALKS, AND IMPROVING DRIVER SAFETY BY EASING TRAFFIC CONGESTION ALONG MAJOR EAST-WEST ROADS IN THE CITY, SPECIFICALLY RALSTON ROAD BETWEEN YUKON AND GARRISON STREETS, AND ON 72ND AVENUE BETWEEN KIPLING AND SIMMS STREETS;</p> <p>PROVIDED THAT THE EXPENDITURE OF PROCEEDS WILL BE MONITORED BY A COUNCIL APPOINTED OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF RESIDENTS, AND SUCH EXPENDITURES WILL BE REPORTED IN THE CITY'S INDEPENDENT AUDIT PUBLISHED ON THE CITY'S WEB SITE AND NO PROCEEDS MAY BE USED FOR CITY ADMINISTRATION.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For      <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	<p><b>City of Aurora Ballot Question 3I</b></p> <p>CONTINUING THE PHOTO RED LIGHT ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM</p> <p>Shall Aurora continue to issue photo red light tickets to drivers that enter an intersection after the traffic light turns red and after review by law enforcement personnel with a portion of the revenues of such tickets funding nonprofit organizations with a nexus to law enforcement?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For      <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p> <p><b>City of Aurora Ballot Question 3J</b></p> <p>EXTENSION OF FIRE AND POLICE PROBATIONARY PERIOD</p> <p>Shall Article III of the City Charter of the City of Aurora be amended to change the probationary period for newly appointed firefighters and police officers from the end of the first year of employment to one year from the date the firefighter or police officer completes academy training?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For      <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p> <p><b>City of Aurora Ballot Question 3K</b></p> <p>RESTORING LOCAL CONTROL OVER BROADBAND SERVICES</p> <p>Shall Aurora restore local authority and be authorized to provide high-speed internet services (advanced services), as defined by § 29-27-101 - 304 of the Colorado Revised Statutes, to residents, businesses, schools, libraries, nonprofit entities, and other users of such services, without limiting its home rule authority?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For      <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>
<p><b>City of Northglenn</b></p> <p><b>CITY OF NORTHGLENN BALLOT ISSUE 3E</b></p> <p>MARIJUANA SALES TAX</p> <p>SHALL CITY OF NORTHGLENN TAXES BE INCREASED BY \$1,400,000 ANNUALLY IN THE FIRST FISCAL YEAR, AND BY SUCH AMOUNTS AS ARE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY IMPOSING A NEW SALES TAX OF 4% ON THE SALE OF RETAIL MARIJUANA AND MEDICAL MARIJUANA, AND RETAIL MARIJUANA AND MEDICAL MARIJUANA PRODUCTS, WHICH SHALL BE IN ADDITION TO THE MUNICIPAL SALES TAX ON SUCH SALES, AND SHALL ALL REVENUES DERIVED FROM SUCH TAX BE COLLECTED AND SPENT TO BE USED EXCLUSIVELY FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS AND PUBLIC FACILITIES WITH THE RATE OF THE TAX BEING ALLOWED TO INCREASE OR DECREASE NO MORE THAN 1% ANNUALLY WITHOUT FURTHER VOTER APPROVAL SO LONG AS THE RATE OF TAXATION DOES NOT EXCEED 10%, AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY EXPENDITURE, REVENUE RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, § 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For      <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	<p><b>City of Aurora</b></p> <p><b>CITY OF AURORA BALLOT ISSUE 3G</b></p> <p>MEDICAL MARIJUANA SALES AND USE TAX</p> <p>SHALL AURORA TAXES BE INCREASED BY \$1,800,000.00 ANNUALLY IN THE FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR OF SUCH INCREASE, AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ARE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY AUTHORIZING THE IMPOSITION OF AN ADDITIONAL 4.0% SALES AND USE TAX ON MEDICAL MARIJUANA AND MEDICAL MARIJUANA-INFUSED PRODUCTS; PROVIDED THAT: (I) THE RATE OF SUCH TAX MAY BE INCREASED OR DECREASED WITHOUT FURTHER VOTER APPROVAL SO LONG AS THE RATE DOES NOT EXCEED 10%, AND (II) THE REVENUES FROM SUCH TAX SHALL BE COLLECTED, RETAINED, AND SPENT AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, SECTION 11-27 OF THE AURORA CHARTER, OR ANY OTHER LAW WHICH PURPORTS TO LIMIT AURORA'S REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For      <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	<p><b>Town of Lochbuie</b></p> <p><b>Town of Lochbuie Ballot Question 3R</b></p> <p>Shall the town of Lochbuie be allowed to publish ordinances by title only rather than publishing ordinances in full, saving the town publishing costs, and so long as such ordinances are published in full on the town web site upon adoption?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For      <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p> <p><b>Town of Lochbuie Ballot Question 3S</b></p> <p>Shall the town of Lochbuie be allowed to publish its regular statement of bill payments and contracts awarded on the town web site, rather than in the legal notices of the newspaper, saving the town publishing costs, and so long as such statements are published in full on the town web site within twenty days of taking action to pay such bills or enter into such contracts?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For      <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>
	<p><b>City of Aurora Ballot Question 3H</b></p> <p>MEDICAL MARIJUANA GROWS AND MANUFACTURING</p> <p>Shall Aurora allow the licensing and operation of optional premises cultivation facilities and medical marijuana-infused product manufacturing facilities?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For      <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	<p><b>Town of Lochbuie Ballot Question 3T</b></p> <p>Without creating any new taxes or increasing any tax rate, for property tax revenue collected in calendar year 2018 and all future property tax revenue, shall the town of Lochbuie be permitted to levy, retain and spend such town revenues without the limitations that would otherwise be imposed by the 5.5% limitation under C.R.S. section 29-1-301?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For      <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>

Westminster Public Schools	Adams-Arapahoe School District 28J	Adams 12 Five Star School District
<p><b>WESTMINSTER PUBLIC SCHOOLS BALLOT ISSUE 4A</b></p> <p>SHALL WESTMINSTER PUBLIC SCHOOLS TAXES BE INCREASED BY \$9.9 MILLION IN 2018 FOR COLLECTION IN 2019 AND BY SUCH AMOUNTS AS MAY BE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY THE IMPOSITION OF A PROPERTY TAX MILL LEVY OF UP TO 14.5 MILLS, TO BE USED FOR GENERAL FUND PURPOSES INCLUDING CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS AND EDUCATIONAL PRIORITIES WHICH MAY INCLUDE BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IMPROVING SCHOOL SAFETY AND SECURITY BY MAKING PRIORITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS THAT ENHANCE SAFE STUDENT ENVIRONMENTS;</li> <li>PROVIDING FUNDS FOR CONTINUING AND EXPANDING EXISTING VOCATIONAL/CAREER PROGRAMS AND TO CREATE PARTNERSHIPS WITH ORGANIZATIONS LIKE THE BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB TO ENABLE AND ENHANCE LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES FOR COMMUNITY YOUTH;</li> <li>ATTRACTING AND RETAINING HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS, MENTAL HEALTH PROFESSIONALS, AND COUNSELORS TO BE COMPETITIVE WITH SURROUNDING DISTRICTS IN ADAMS COUNTY;</li> </ul> <p>AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT ANNUAL REVENUES PURSUANT TO THE MILL LEVY OVERRIDE APPROVED BY THE VOTERS IN 2002 EQUAL TO THE GREATER OF \$5.95 MILLION OR THE AMOUNT WHICH IS GENERATED BY A MILL LEVY OF UP TO 11.3 MILLS;</p> <p>AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND ALL REVENUES FROM SUCH TAXES AND THE EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH REVENUES AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For      <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	<p><b>ADAMS-ARAPAHOE SCHOOL DISTRICT 28J BALLOT ISSUE 5A</b></p> <p>SHALL JOINT SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 28J AURORA PUBLIC SCHOOLS TAXES BE INCREASED \$35 MILLION IN COLLECTION YEAR 2019 AND BY WHATEVER AMOUNTS AS MAY BE GENERATED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY THE IMPOSITION OF AN ADDITIONAL MILL LEVY OF NOT TO EXCEED 13.7 MILLS, FOR GENERAL FUND PURPOSE INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE FOLLOWING PROVISIONS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SUPPORTING STUDENT HEALTH AND SAFETY BY:</li> <li>EXPANDING STAFF AND TRAINING DEDICATED TO STUDENT MENTAL HEALTH;</li> <li>INCREASING PAY TO RECRUIT AND RETAIN HIGH QUALITY TEACHERS;</li> <li>EXPANDING AFTER-SCHOOL LEARNING PROGRAMS FOR K THROUGH 5 ELEMENTARY STUDENTS;</li> <li>ADDING AND PROVIDING SEAT BELTS ON BUSES;</li> </ul> <p>BY AN ADDITIONAL PROPERTY TAX MILL LEVY IN EXCESS OF THE LEVY AUTHORIZED FOR THE DISTRICT'S GENERAL FUND, WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE, PURSUANT TO AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 22-54-108, C.R.S., SUCH ADDITIONAL TAXES TO BE DEPOSITED IN THE GENERAL FUND; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND ALL REVENUES FROM SUCH TAXES AND THE EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH REVENUES AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For      <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	<p><b>ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT ISSUE 5C</b></p> <p>SHALL ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS TAXES BE INCREASED UP TO \$27 MILLION ANNUALLY COMMENCING IN COLLECTION YEAR 2019 AND IN EACH YEAR THEREAFTER AND INCREASED FOR INFLATION BASED ON THE ANNUAL CHANGES IN THE DENVER-BOULDER CONSUMER PRICE INDEX FOR GENERAL FUND PURPOSES, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ATTRACTING AND RETAINING HIGH-QUALITY TEACHERS AND STAFF BY ALLOWING THE DISTRICT TO OFFER COMPETITIVE, MARKET-VALUE WAGES;</li> <li>IMPROVING STUDENT SAFETY BY SECURING SCHOOL BUILDINGS, ENTRYWAYS, AND CLASSROOMS;</li> <li>EXPANDING VOCATIONAL, JOB TRAINING, AND CAREER-FOCUSED PROGRAMS;</li> <li>ALLOWING THE DISTRICT TO REDUCE CLASS SIZES AND MANAGE GROWTH;</li> <li>EXPANDING COURSE OFFERINGS IN SPECIALIZED PROGRAMS LIKE SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, ENGINEERING, AND MATH, AS WELL AS ADVANCED PLACEMENT AND OTHER COURSES;</li> <li>UPDATING TEXTBOOKS AND LEARNING MATERIALS THAT ARE OUTDATED OR DON'T MEET CURRENT ACADEMIC STANDARDS;</li> </ul> <p>ANY FUNDS EXPENDED PURSUANT TO THIS QUESTION SHALL BE SUBJECT TO STATE LAWS, REGULATIONS AND DISTRICT POLICIES FOR ACCOUNTABILITY AND TRANSPARENCY;</p> <p>AND SHALL SUCH TAX INCREASE BE AN ADDITIONAL PROPERTY TAX MILL LEVY IN EXCESS OF THE LEVY AUTHORIZED FOR THE DISTRICT'S GENERAL FUND, PURSUANT TO AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 22-54-108, C.R.S.; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND ALL REVENUES FROM SUCH TAXES AND THE EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH REVENUES AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For      <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>
<p><b>Mapleton School District</b></p> <p><b>MAPLETON SCHOOL DISTRICT No. 1 BALLOT ISSUE 4B</b></p> <p>SHALL THE DIRECTOR DISTRICT PLAN OF REPRESENTATION, ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF EDUCATION OF ADAMS COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1, ALSO KNOWN AS MAPLETON PUBLIC SCHOOLS, WHICH CHANGES FROM AN AT-LARGE PLAN OF REPRESENTATION TO A DIRECTOR DISTRICT PLAN OF REPRESENTATION WHERE ONE MEMBER OF THE BOARD WILL RESIDE IN EACH OF THE FIVE DIRECTOR DISTRICTS BUT ALL DIRECTORS WILL BE VOTED ON AT-LARGE BY ALL ELIGIBLE ELECTORS IN THE DISTRICT BE APPROVED?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For      <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	<p><b>Bennett School District 29J</b></p> <p><b>BENNETT 29J SD BALLOT ISSUE 5B</b></p> <p><b>Limited General Fund Override</b></p> <p>SHALL BENNETT SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 29J TAXES BE INCREASED \$1.6 MILLION (2019 ESTIMATE) ANNUALLY FOR A LIMITED SEVEN-YEAR PERIOD (COMMENCING IN COLLECTION YEAR 2019) AND AFTER COLLECTION YEAR 2019 BY WHATEVER AMOUNTS ARE RAISED FOR THE LIMITED PERIOD (ENDING IN COLLECTION YEAR 2025) FROM AN OVERRIDE MILL LEVY IMPOSED AT A RATE OF 9.971 MILLS, WHICH AUTHORIZATION SHALL BE SUBJECT TO THE CONDITION THAT THE ANNUAL RATE AUTHORIZED BY THIS BALLOT ISSUE SHALL BE REDUCED TO THE EXTENT OF ANY BOND REDEMPTION MILLS LEVIED BY THE DISTRICT IN THE FUTURE FOR ITS OUTSTANDING BONDS, THE NET AFFECT BEING THAT THIS VOTER AUTHORIZATION DOES NOT CAUSE A NET INCREASE IN THE TOTAL MILL LEVY CURRENTLY IMPOSED BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT FUTURE VOTER APPROVAL, WITH THE MONEYS FROM THIS OVERRIDE TO BE DEPOSITED INTO THE GENERAL FUND OF THE DISTRICT AND USED FOR ADDITIONAL CLASSROOM CONSTRUCTION AND TO MEET THE IMMEDIATE FACILITY NEEDS OF THE DISTRICT?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For      <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	





Anythink Libraries	South Adams County Fire Protection District	Central Colorado Water Conservancy District
<p><b>ANYTHINK (AKA RANGEVIEW LIBRARY DISTRICT) BALLOT ISSUE 6A</b></p> <p>SHALL ANYTHINK, ALSO KNOWN AS RANGEVIEW LIBRARY DISTRICT, SERVING THORNTON, NORTHGLENN, COMMERCE CITY, BRIGHTON, PERL MACK AREA, BENNETT AND UNINCORPORATED ADAMS COUNTY TAXES BE INCREASED 11.6 MILLION DOLLARS IN 2019, AND BY WHATEVER AMOUNT IS RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY AN ADDITIONAL MILL LEVY NOT TO EXCEED 2.2 MILLS TO BE USED TO OPERATE AND EXPAND LIBRARY FACILITIES AND TO IMPROVE LIBRARY SERVICES WHICH MAY INCLUDE:</p> <p>EXPANDING LIBRARY PROGRAMS FOR KIDS, TEENS, FAMILIES, ADULTS AND SENIORS, INCLUDING LITERACY PROGRAMS, AFTER SCHOOL ARTS AND SCIENCE PROGRAMS, AND MENTORSHIP OPPORTUNITIES;</p> <p>EXPANDING INTERNET ACCESS, TECHNOLOGY PROGRAMS, ONLINE SKILLS TRAINING, CAREER COACHING AND DEVELOPMENT, AND LIFELONG-LEARNING CLASSES;</p> <p>PURCHASING ADDITIONAL BOOKS, E-BOOKS, DVDS, AND CDS;</p> <p>EXPANDING LIBRARY HOURS AND SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES;</p> <p>CONSTRUCTING NEW LIBRARIES AND MAINTAINING EXISTING FACILITIES TO MEET THE NEEDS OF ADAMS COUNTY'S GROWING COMMUNITY</p> <p>AND ANY OTHER USES PERMITTED BY LAW; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND ALL REVENUES GENERATED FROM THE MILL LEVY INCREASE, AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO ANY STATUTORY LIMITS, INCLUDING SECTION 29-1-301, C.R.S. AND ANY CONSTITUTIONAL LIMITS, INCLUDING ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, THAT WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For      <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	<p><b>SOUTH ADAMS COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BALLOT ISSUE 6B</b></p> <p><b>Mill Levy Increase</b></p> <p>SHALL THE SOUTH ADAMS COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$5,506,000 (FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR DOLLAR INCREASE) ANNUALLY, BEGINNING IN LEVY YEAR 2018 (FOR COLLECTION IN CALENDAR YEAR 2019) BY INCREASING THE DISTRICT'S EXISTING PROPERTY TAX BY 4.85 MILLS, TO ENABLE THE DISTRICT TO CONTINUE PROVIDING CRITICAL FIRE, MEDICAL, RESCUE AND OTHER EMERGENCY AND NON-EMERGENCY SUPPORT SERVICES TO ITS FAST-GROWING COMMUNITIES, AND SHALL ALL REVENUE AND ANY EARNINGS ON THIS TAX CONSTITUTE A PERMANENT VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE WITHIN THE MEANING OF ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITATIONS SET FORTH IN SECTION 29-1-301 OF THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, AND ANY OTHER LAW?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For      <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p> <p><b>Aberdeen Metropolitan District No 2</b></p> <p><b>ABERDEEN METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 BALLOT ISSUE 6C</b></p> <p>SHALL ABERDEEN METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO 2 TAXES BE INCREASED \$4,500,000 ANNUALLY OR BY SUCH LESSOR AMOUNT AS MAY BE DEEMED NECESSARY BY THE DISTRICT'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS TO FINANCIALLY ENABLE THE DISTRICT TO PAY FOR ITS OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE AND OTHER OPERATIONAL-RELATED EXPENSE OBLIGATIONS; SUCH TAXES TO CONSIST OF AN AD VALOREM MILL LEVY IMPOSED WITHOUT LIMITATION OF RATE, OR WITH SUCH LIMITATIONS AS MAY BE DETERMINED BY THE BOARD, AND IN AMOUNTS SUFFICIENT TO PRODUCE THE ANNUAL INCREASE TO BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF PAYING THE DISTRICT'S OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE, AND OTHER OPERATIONAL-RELATED EXPENSES; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES AND INVESTMENT INCOME THEREON BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE IN 2019 AND IN EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE-RAISING, OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, OR SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For      <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	<p><b>CENTRAL COLORADO WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT GROUND WATER MANAGEMENT SUBDISTRICT BALLOT ISSUE 7E</b></p> <p><b>GROUND WATER MANAGEMENT SUBDISTRICT DEBT</b></p> <p>SHALL THE GROUND WATER MANAGEMENT SUBDISTRICT OF THE CENTRAL COLORADO WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT DEBT BE INCREASED UP TO \$48.7 MILLION, WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF UP TO \$91.9 MILLION, AND SHALL SUBDISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED UP TO \$4.4 MILLION ANNUALLY FOR THE PURPOSE OF DEVELOPING, ACQUIRING AND MANAGING RELIABLE WATER RESOURCES THROUGH DEBT FINANCING TO:</p> <p>-INCREASE WATER SUPPLIES NECESSARY TO SUPPORT AND MAINTAIN A VIABLE FARMING AND BUSINESS COMMUNITY THROUGH DEVELOPMENT OF WATER PROJECTS TO CAPTURE WATER DURING TIMES OF HIGH SUPPLY FOR USE DURING TIMES OF NEED,</p> <p>-LESSEN DROUGHT IMPACT THROUGH THE ACQUISITION OF SENIOR WATER RIGHTS,</p> <p>-REPLACE LEASED MUNICIPAL WATER RESOURCES THAT ARE BEING CONSUMED BY GROWTH IN AREA MUNICIPALITIES, AND</p> <p>-CONSTRUCT AND IMPROVE WATER STORAGE RESERVOIRS AND OTHER FACILITIES TO MORE EFFICIENTLY USE WATER AVAILABLE TO THE SUBDISTRICT,</p> <p>SUCH DEBT TO CONSIST OF THE ISSUANCE AND PAYMENT OF BONDS OR SIMILAR LIMITED TAX OBLIGATIONS, WHICH DEBT SHALL BEAR INTEREST AT A MAXIMUM NET EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE NOT TO EXCEED 5.5% PER ANNUM AND SHALL BE DATED AND SOLD AT SUCH TIME OR TIMES, AT SUCH PRICES (AT, ABOVE OR BELOW PAR) AND IN SUCH MANNER AND CONTAINING SUCH TERMS, NOT INCONSISTENT HERewith, AS THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS MAY DETERMINE; AND SHALL (I) AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES BE LEVIED IN ANY YEAR AT A LIMITED MILL LEVY RATE NOT TO EXCEED 6 MILLS, EXCEPT AS PERMITTED IN SECTION 37-45-126 OF THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, AND IN SUCH AMOUNTS AS SET FORTH ABOVE AND (II) THE SUBDISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO ENCUMBER AND PLEDGE ANY OTHER REVENUES OF THE SUBDISTRICT TO BE USED TO PAY THE PRINCIPAL OF AND INTEREST ON SUCH BONDS AS THE SAME BECOME DUE AND TO FUND ANY RESERVES FOR THE PAYMENT THEREOF?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For      <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>

**Greater Brighton Fire Protection District**

**GREATER BRIGHTON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BALLOT ISSUE 7F**

**Mill Levy Increase**

SHALL GREATER BRIGHTON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$2,897,826 (FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR DOLLAR INCREASE) ANNUALLY, BEGINNING IN LEVY YEAR 2018 (FOR COLLECTION IN CALENDAR YEAR 2019) BY INCREASING THE DISTRICT'S EXISTING PROPERTY TAX BY 3.72 MILLS, TO ENABLE THE DISTRICT TO CONTINUE PROVIDING CRITICAL FIRE, EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES, RESCUE AND OTHER EMERGENCY AND NON-EMERGENCY SUPPORT SERVICES TO ITS FAST-GROWING COMMUNITIES, AND SHALL ALL REVENUE AND ANY EARNINGS ON THIS TAX CONSTITUTE A PERMANENT VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE WITHIN THE MEANING OF ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITATIONS SET FORTH IN SECTION 29-1-301 OF THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, AND ANY OTHER LAW?

Yes/For  No/Against

**Urban Drainage and Flood Control District**

**URBAN DRAINAGE AND FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT BALLOT ISSUE 7G**

SHALL URBAN DRAINAGE AND FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$14.9 MILLION IN 2019 (RESULTING IN AN ANNUAL TAX INCREASE NOT TO EXCEED \$1.97 IN 2019 FOR EACH \$100,000 OF ACTUAL RESIDENTIAL VALUATION) AND BY SUCH AMOUNT AS MAY BE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER FROM A LEVY NOT TO EXCEED 1.0 MILLS TO PAY FOR DISTRICT WORK IN COORDINATION WITH LOCAL GOVERNMENTS, INCLUDING:

1. MAINTAINING EARLY FLOOD WARNING GAUGES TO PROVIDE POTENTIAL EVACUATION WARNINGS,
2. PROVIDING TRAILS, WILDLIFE HABITAT, AND RECREATIONAL ACCESS TO RESIDENTS BY PRESERVING THOUSANDS OF ACRES OF PARKS AND OPEN SPACE IN FLOODPLAIN AREAS WHICH PROTECT THE ENVIRONMENT AND PRIVATE PROPERTY, AND
3. REMOVING DEBRIS, GARBAGE AND OBSTRUCTIONS FROM STREAMS, CREEKS AND RIVERS RESULTING IN REDUCED RISK TO THE HEALTH AND SAFETY OF RESIDENTS, PROTECTING PROPERTY, AND RESTORING NATURAL BEAUTY;

WITH THE DISTRICT'S ENTIRE MILL LEVY RATE SUBJECT TO STATUTORY CAPS AND TO ADJUSTMENT TO OFFSET REFUNDS, ABATEMENTS AND CHANGES TO THE PERCENTAGE OF ACTUAL VALUATION USED TO DETERMINE ASSESSED VALUATION; AND SHALL ALL DISTRICT REVENUES BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT NOTWITHSTANDING ANY LIMITS PROVIDED BY LAW?

Yes/For  No/Against

**Southeast Weld Fire Protection District**

**SOUTHEAST WELD FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BALLOT ISSUE 7H**

SHALL SOUTHEAST WELD FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED BY \$625,000 ANNUALLY, AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ARE ANNUALLY RAISED THEREAFTER, BY IMPOSING AN ADDITIONAL MILL LEVY OF 2.5 MILLS (FOR A TOTAL MILL LEVY OF 10.264) UPON TAXABLE PROPERTY WITHIN THE DISTRICT, COMMENCING IN TAX YEAR 2018 (FOR COLLECTION IN FISCAL YEAR 2019) WITH SUCH TAX PROCEEDS TO BE USED FOR GENERAL OPERATING AND CAPITAL EXPENSES, SPECIFICALLY INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO:

UPGRADING AND INCREASING NECESSARY FIRE AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL EQUIPMENT TO PROVIDE FOR THE PUBLIC'S SAFETY AND WELFARE; AND

PROVIDING ADDITIONAL FULL-TIME STAFFING TO ACCOUNT FOR INCREASES IN CALL VOLUME, TO PERMIT THE OPERATION OF AN ADDITIONAL AMBULANCE, AND TO IMPROVE RESPONSE TIMES THROUGHOUT THE DISTRICT;

AND SHALL SUCH TAXES BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGES IN EACH YEAR, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING OR REVENUE LIMITATIONS CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES?

Yes/For  No/Against

**SOUTHEAST WELD FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BALLOT ISSUE 7I**

IN ORDER TO SUSTAIN ADEQUATE FIRE, RESCUE, AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES, AND ONLY IF THE RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE IS REDUCED BELOW THE CURRENT RATE ESTABLISHED PURSUANT TO SECTION 3 OF ARTICLE X OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, SHALL THE SOUTHEAST WELD FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT (DISTRICT) BE PERMITTED TO ADJUST ITS PROPERTY TAX MILL LEVY TO OFFSET ANY RESULTING DECREASE IN REVENUE; AND SHALL SUCH TAX PROCEEDS BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGES IN EACH YEAR, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY CONSTITUTIONAL OR STATUTORY SPENDING OR REVENUE LIMITATIONS CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES?

Yes/For  No/Against

